US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Shaughnessy No.: 035201

Date Out of EAB: DEC | 8 1985

			Signature:							
To:	WM. Miller Product Manager # 16 Registration Division (TS	-767C)								
From:	Emil Regelman, Acting Chic Review Section 3 Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division	h								
Attached please find the EAB review of										
Reg./File#	: 201-142									
Chemical	: Dicrotophos	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Type Produc	ct: Insecticide									
Product Na	me: Bidrin									
Company Na	me: Shell Oil Co.									
Purpose	Response to regis	tration standard	; request review of test							
protocols; request extension of time for data submission.										
	•									
ACTION COD	E(s): 352	EAB # (s):	6061							
Date Recei	ved: 10/22/85	TAIS Code:	44							
Date Compl	eted: 12-17-85	Total Reviewin	g Time: 1.5 Day							
Monitoring	requested:									
Monitoring	voluntarily Done:		·							
Deferrals	; To:									
Ec	cological Effects Branch		-							
Re	esidue Chemistry Branch									
Tc	oxicology Branch									

REGISTRATION DIVISION DATA REVIEW RECORD Confidential Business Information — Does Not Contain National Security Information (E.O. 12065)											
1.	CHE	MICAL NAME Dicastoralos									
	2.	IDENTIFYING NUMBER	3. ACTION CO	DDE	4 AC	CESSION NU	MBER	TO BE	COMPLETED	BY PM	
3-0				4. ACCESSION NOMBER			5. RECORD NUMBER				
201-142 302								6. REFERENCE NUMBER			
note to EAB jes response to Registralia de					11			7. DATE RECEIVED (EPA)			
The invokes to Hudson Boyd on he sen					ened -			8. STATUTORY DUE DATE			
the Std. A copy of one 9/10/85 sess					ane s			9. PRODUCT MANAGER (PM)			
Shell 8/8 letter in allached.					10. PM TEAM NUI					Miller	
14. CHECK IF APPLICABLE					Dentacol All 11. DATE SENT TO H						
Public Health/Quarantine					K 1-cac	ocet	AII	11. DATE SENT TO HED/TSS			
☐ Substitute Chemical ☐ Part of IPM								12. PRIORITY NUMBER			
Seasonal Concern Review Requires L						Less Than 4 Hours				N DATE	
15.		STRUCTIONS TO REVIEWER HED	<u>, n</u>	BFSD	F. INSTRU	CTIONS	lano di	+/+	1.7.		
	A.	Incremental Risk Assessment		TSS/RD	3760	- gray	104	+1	ereson	. / >	
·		3(c)(7) and/or E.L. Johnson memo of May 12, 1977. SPRD (Send Copy of Form to SPRD PM	E. 🗆	Other	Invic.	a montap	gare-	singly for computer			
	D .	Chemical Undergoing Active		÷	under natural, rather than lat, Cardilion						
RPAR Review Chemical Undergoing Active Registration Standards Review					Still also maintains that results of soil						
16.	. RE	LATED ACTIONS	hain a	Pthe	mafal	alian p	ludis a	re no	edel pr	horto	
		. Do	y you	ague	with.	Shell's	propo	sale.	and pro	tocale?	
17. 3(c)(1)(D)			18. REVIEWS SENT TO			□ EF □ PL					
	<u> </u>	Use Any or All Available Information Use Only Attached Data Use Only the Attached Data for Formulation and Any or All Available Information on the Technical or Manufacturing Chemical.		RCB		EFB .	□ сн		□ BFSD		
19.	То	TYPE OF REVIEW	Registration	Petition	EUP	STN NOWBEH (Sec. 18	Inert	MNR. USE	Other	
		TOXICOLOGY		•	•						
HED		ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS									
Ŧ		RESIDUE CHEMISTRY									
	M	ENVIRONMENTAL DATE								Protect	
		CHEMISTRY						•	•		
RD/TSS		EFFICACY		7. 4.				·			
Ë		PRECAUTIONARY LABELING	**************************************	* _							
BFSD		ECONOMIC ANALYSIS									
Label Submitted Confidential Repres			I entative Showing ed Uses ed		23. Date Returned to RD (to be completed by HED) 24. Include an Original Copies of This Comfor Each Branch Ct Review.			mpleted Form			

1. CHEMICAL:

Common Name: Dicrotophos (BSI, ISO)

Chemical Name: Dimethyl cis - 2 - dimethyl - carbamoyl - 1 -

- methylvinyl phosphate.

Dimethyl phosphate ester of 3 - hydroxy-N, N-dimethyl-

cis - crotonamide.

Trade Name: Bidrin, Carbicron, Ektafos, SD 3562

Structure:

$$CH_{3}O$$
 P
 $O-C=C-C-N$
 CH_{3}
 CH_{3}

FORMULATIONS:

7.5 amd 8.0 lb. a.i./gal soluble concentrate/liquids (SC/L) and 86% a.i. ready to use (RTU). The SC/L formulations are applied by aerial or ground equipment and the RTU formulation is applied to ornamental trees by a specialized trunk injector.

2. TEST MATERIAL:

No tests were conducted.

3. STUDY ACTION TYPE:

Registrant requests:

- a. An extension of time to perform required tests.
- b. Permission to conduct certain tests under natural rather than laboratory conditions.
- c. Review and approval/disapproval of proposed test protocols.

4. STUDY INDENTIFICATION:

a. Letter dated 10/15/85 advising that \$14C-N\$ N-dimethyl acetoacetamide, a precursor for the radiosynthesis of \$14C-dicrotophos\$ would not be available in time to complete the required environmental fate studies by the dates last specified by EPA. Letter also explained why the registrant prefers to conduct the tests under natural rather artificial conditions.

b. Proposed protocols as follows:

No. PPL-22-016-85. Aqueous hydrolysis of 14C-SD 3562 (Dicrotophos)

No. PPL-22-017-85. Aqueous photolysis of ¹⁴C-SD 3562 (Dicrotophos) under natural sunlight.

No. PPL-22-018-85. Photodegradation of $^{14}\text{C-SD}$ 3562 (Dicrotophos) on soil surfaces under natural sunlight.

No. PPL-22-019-85. Aerobic soil metabolism of 14C-SD 3562 (Dicrotophos)

No. PPL-22-020-85. Anaerobic soil metabolism of 14C-SD 3562 (Dicrotophos)

No. PPL-22-021-85. No. PPL-22-021-85. Soil adsorption and desorption of $^{14}\text{C-SD}$ 33562 (Dicrotophos).

No. PPL-22-022-85. Soil mobility of SD 3562 (Dichrotophos) and its soil degrates.

5.0 REVIEWED BY:

Hudson Boyd Chemist, Review Section #3 EAB/HED/OPP Signature: Sudun / Buyd

Date: 12-17-85

6.0 APPROVED BY:

Emil Regelman Acting Chief Review Section #3 EAB/HED/OPP Signature

Date: DEC | 8 | 1985

7.0 CONCLUSION:

- 7.1 No test data were submitted from which to draw conclusions.
- 7.2 With the exception of proposed protocol No. PPL-22-017-85, Aqueous Photolysis of $^{14}\text{C-SD}$ 3562 (Dicrotophos) under Natural Sunlight, the protocols are acceptable.

8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 8.1 Deny the request for time extensions.

 Registrant has known of requirements for over 3 years.
- 8.2 Approve all protocols except PPL-22-017-85
- 8.3 Allow the registrant to perform the aqueous photodegradation study in natural sunlight provided means are established to maintain a constant temperature of 25 ± 1°C and to prevent volatilization of the test material.

9.0 BACKGROUND:

- 9.1 The registration standard guidance package was prepared in April 1982 and a 3(c)(2)(B) letter issued June 30, 1982, stating test requirements. Subsequently the registrant requested and was granted certain waivers, VIZ., volatility (lab and field), long term soil dissipation, and field accumulation aquatic non-target species studies. In April 1983 a revised submitted due date was set forth by the EPA and restated to the registrant in June 1985.
- 9.2 The registrant has stated, as the rationale for conducting studies under natural rather than laboratory conditions that their experience with tests for the environmental fate of other organophosphate compounds shows that natural conditions are more rigorous, i.e., produce more rapid decomposition, shorter residual half-life, and more extensive degradation.

10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR RESULTS:

Without temperature controls, photosythensis tests conducted on the roof of a building near Modesto, CA., as proposed by the registrant (PPL-22-017-85), could not define the kinetics of the reaction as required by the EPA Guidelines for Registration.

11. COMPLETION OF ONE LINER:

A one liner was not initiated.

12. CBI APPENDIX:

No CBI is involved, therefore, no appendix.